

## Grain yield and rhizosphere microflora of alternative types of wheat in organic production

Received for publication, November 25, 2016  
Accepted, April 30, 2017

S. ROLJEVIĆ NIKOLIĆ\*, D. KOVAČEVIĆ†, G. CVIJANOVIĆ‡, Ž. DOLIJANOVIĆ†, J. MARINKOVIĆ§

\*Institute of Agricultural Economics, Belgrade, Serbia

†Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Crop Science, University of Belgrade, Serbia

‡Faculty of Biopharming, Megatrend University

§Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops Novi Sad, Serbia

\* Address for correspondence to: svetlana\_r@jep.bg.ac.rs

### Abstract

This study examines the impact of organic growing technologies on the rhizosphere microflora value and grain yield alternative types of wheat. Research is based on three varieties of different alternative types of wheat (*Triticum spelta*, *Triticum compactum* and *Triticum durum*) and one conventional variety of ordinary soft wheat (*Triticum vulgare*). The experiment was set up on less carbonate-chernozem, according to the random block design with four replicates for each treatment ( $F_1$ -microbiological fertilizer,  $F_2$ -the combined use of microbiological fertilizer and organic fertilizer,  $F_0$ -control (no fertilizer application)). Research results showed that fertilization significantly influenced the increase in the total number of microorganisms to the level of 3.7% and 28%, and the number of *Azotobacter* to the level of 2.8% and 19.1%, with the proven higher efficiency of mixed application of fertilizers. Analysis of variance of the total number of microorganisms and *Azotobacter* showed a statistically significant difference between the tested varieties. Grain yield of spelt (4,540 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly higher compared to other varieties. The greatest variation in yield in the three-year period was noted for conventional variety ordinary soft wheat. The examined variants of fertilization had a significant effect on increasing grain yield, from the level of 13.9% to 36.4%.

**Keywords:** organic production, alternative types of wheat, microorganisms, yield

### 1. Introduction

In addition to wheat, barley, rye and oats, as some of the most important agricultural plants for human consumption, there are other forms of small grains for special purposes, grown on smaller areas and often called alternative crops. Into this group, of the genus *Triticum*, the following sub-types of wheat belongs: durum wheat (*Triticum durum*), spelt wheat (*Triticum spelta*), the compactum wheat (*Triticum compactum*) and Khorasan wheat (*Triticum turanicum*). These types are old, well tolerating the lack of nutrients in the soil (KONVALINA & al. [11]), demonstrating the ability to be competitive towards weeds (BOND & GRUNDY [2], MURPHY & al. [15]) and are commonly associated with alternative agriculture systems such as the organic production.

The availability of the nutrients amounts required is a decisive factor for plant growth and yields achieving in organic production. Optimal levels of nutrients are provided mostly from alternative sources which, inter alia, include the use of organic and microbial fertilizers. Application of organic fertilizer influence improving the physical and chemical characteristics of rhizosphere soil (SIX & al. [19]), the increase in biomass and microbial activity (BULLUCK & al. [3], PIMENTEL & al. [16]; ŠIMON & CZAKÓ, [20]), and, thus, the

content and availability of nutrients in the soil. In organic agriculture the presence of beneficial microorganisms in the soil is very important for the mineralization of organic substances and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen. It is estimated that the association between wheat and diazotrophs can provide 10-30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, or 10% of the total wheat requirements for nitrogen (KENNEDY & al. [10]) JARAK & HAJNAL [9] found that the use of microorganisms in crop production can increase yields, reduce the consumption of mineral fertilizers and increase the soil microbial activity.

The main objective of this paper is to examine the dynamics of the number of rhizosphere microflora, as well as the productivity of alternative types of winter wheat in terms of organic production.

## 2. Materials and methods

The method used in this research is randomized block system with four replications, and the surface of the elemental plot was 6m<sup>2</sup>. An experiment was carried out in the "Radmilovac" (44°45'N, 20°35'E Serbia) experimental school estate of the Faculty of Agriculture in Zemun.

*Meteorological and soil conditions of plant growth.* The research was conducted during three seasons in the period 2009/2010-2011/2012 (factor A). In the first year of investigation (2009/2010) precipitation and average air temperature was higher compared to the second and third year (table 1). A large amount of rainfall in June (180 mm) and high temperature in the second decade of the month shortened and hindered the process of winter wheat grain filling. In the second year (2010/2011) somewhat lower air temperature in October (10,5°C), December (2,5°C) were recorded compared with the same months in the first and third year of the research, while in May (63 mm) a smaller deficit rainfall was recorded. Autumn period in the third year (2011/2012) was characterized by colder weather with little precipitation. In late January and early February a heavy snowfall occurred, so the winter cereals had protection from extremely low ground-frosts. Very hot weather (24.6°C) with considerably less rainfall (14 mm) in June affected the faster flow of the initial stages of ripening winter wheat.

**Table 1.** The average monthly temperature and precipitations during the vegetation period (2009/2010-2011/2012)

Year	Temperature (°C)										Average
	X	XI	XII	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	
2009/2010	14.0	10.4	4.9	1.0	3.9	8.7	13.9	18.3	21.4	24.3	12.1
2010/2011	10.5	12.2	2.5	2.0	1.4	8.2	14.6	17.3	22.4	24.1	11.5
2011/2012	12.9	5.0	5.8	2.7	-2.5	10.1	14.4	17.9	24.6	27.1	11.8
	Precipitations (mm)										
2009/2010	101	62	122	89	111	46	41	85	180	41	878
2010/2011	49	45	61	40	53	26	11	63	40	107	495
2011/2012	35	6	49	82	62	3	67	128	14	39	485

The experiment was carried out in field conditions, on leached chernozem soil type. Soil chemical properties were as follows: pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) of 8.04, the total nitrogen content is 0.13% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 22.18 mg, 19.10 mg K<sub>2</sub>O, content of humus in the topsoil layer ranges from 2.09% to 2.82%. Organic technology of wheat growing included conventional tillage, fertilization with organic and microbiological fertilizers without chemical crop protection and by use of four varieties of different types of winter wheat. Crops replacement in four - crop rotation was as follows: maize - winter wheat - spring barley + red clover - red clover.

Objects of the investigation were three varieties of different alternative types of wheat (Bambi, Nirvana and Dolap) and one common variety of soft wheat (NS 40S), has been selected primarily for intensive, conventional production (factor B).

Nirvana (*Triticum spelta*) late wheat variety, very resistant to winter with hulled grain. This type of wheat is used for special bread preparation that is significantly faster digested compared to regular wheat bread.

Dolap (*Triticum durum*) - winter, medium early durum wheat, good winterhardiness. Dolap is intended exclusively for the production of pasta, spaghetti, macaroni and the like.

Bambi (*Triticum compactum*) - late varieties of wheat resistant to winter with hulled grain. Bambi is a variety of wheat intended solely for preparing tea and hard biscuits.

NS 40S (*Triticum vulgare*) - medium-early variety of soft common wheat with good resistance to winter, drought-tolerant, high yield potential, excellent resistance to lodging.

Sowing was done by hand at the end of the second decade of October. The original seed of the Institute for Small Grains in Novi Sad was used.

To maintain and increase biological soil fertility two variants of fertilization were examined (factor C):

C<sub>1</sub> - fertilization using only microbiological fertilizer for nourishment (5.0 l ha<sup>-1</sup>);

C<sub>2</sub> - biohumus fertilization (3.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the microbiological fertilizer for nourishment (5.0 l ha<sup>-1</sup>);

C<sub>0</sub> - control - without the use of fertilizers.

The organic fertilizer which is used in the study is characterized by a pH of 8.63 and the minimum content: 2.2% N; 4.8% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 2.8% K<sub>2</sub>O. The organic fertilizers is tilled in an amount of 3.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a basic treatment. During the spring nutrition in the tiller phenophases of development microbiological fertilizer at a dose of 5 l ha<sup>-1</sup> was used, which contains min *Bacillus megaterium* 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>, *Bacillus licheniformis* 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>, *Bacillus subtilis* 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>, *Azotobacter chtoococcum* 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>, *Azotobacter vinelandi* 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>, *Derxia* sp. 10<sup>-6</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>.

Soil sampling for microbiological analysis was done in the spring, after the nutrition of the crops, at the end of the tiller phenophases. Analyses conducted related to the biological value of the land in the microbiology laboratory of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops in Novi Sad. The number of microorganisms was determined using the method of agar plates on a suitable nutrient medium, while soil suspension was prepared using a dilution series. The total number of soil microorganisms - was determined on an agarised soil extract (POCHON & TARDIEUX, [17]), from the 10<sup>-7</sup> dilution. Number of *Azotobacter* spp. - was determined by the method "fertile drops" (ANDERSON [1]), from the 10<sup>-1</sup> dilution. The sown bases were incubated at a temperature of 28°C. The incubation period for the total number of microorganisms was seven days and 24 hours for *Azotobacter*. After incubation, the colonies were counted and the number was converted per gram of dry soil (WOLLUM [22]).

Harvesting is done by special wheat combine harvester, customized for harvesting small experimental plots. Grain yield is measured from the entire elementary plot, converted to 14% moisture and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

The data were processed by a mathematical statistical procedure using the statistical package Statistica 6.0. ANOVA statistical method was used to determine lowest significant differences for average values and confidence intervals of 95% (LSD 0.05% and 0.01%).

### 3. Results and discussion

Analysis of variance of the total number of microorganisms and the mixture on the basis of LSD test showed that the main sources of variation (age, variety and fertilization) and their interactions have very important (P<0.01) impact on the biological value of rhizosphere soil layer in the conditions of organic production.

Many soil properties, especially its biological phase, are subject to change due to meteorological factors. The favorable ratio of average air temperature and rainfall in the second year had a positive impact on the microbial community, and the total number of microorganisms in this year was the highest ( $336.50 \times 10^{-7}$  g soil) (table 2). The high impact on the total number of microorganisms' variability in the soil had observed cultivars, and the resulting differences were statistically highly significant. Overall, the cultivars Bambi and NS 40S a greater total number of microorganisms compared to varieties Dolap and Nirvana was noted (table 2).

**Table 2.** The total number of microorganisms ( $10^{-7}$  g soil) in the rhizosphere tested species/varieties of wheat during the period 2009/2010 - 2011/2012.

Year A	Types/varieties of small grains B			Fertilization C			Average	
				C <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	AB	A
2009/2010	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			296.40	208.65	319.20	274.75	289.70
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			206.60	298.60	297.80	267.67	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			305.35	355.45	369.75	343.52	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			246.90	228.30	343.45	272.88	
	AC			263.81	272.75	332.55		
2010/2011	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			453.70	477.20	449.70	460.20	336.50
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			191.40	220.40	225.20	212.33	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			411.50	378.30	428.20	406.00	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			253.20	268.20	281.00	267.47	
	AC			327.45	336.03	346.03		
2011/2012	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			239.10	340.10	388.70	322.63	281.24
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			191.80	171.80	275.40	213.00	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			349.20	332.60	411.30	364.37	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			140.60	128.40	405.90	224.97	
	AC			230.18	243.23	370.33		
BC	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			329.73	341.98	385.87	352.53	B
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			196.60	230.27	266.13	231.00	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			355.35	355.45	403.08	371.29	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			213.57	208.30	343.45	255.11	
	C			273.81	284.00	349.63		
F-test	Level	A	B	C	AB	AC	BC	ABC
		**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD	5%	2.641	3.096	2.641	5.912	4.932	5.912	14.405
	1%	3.534	4.181	3.534	8.494	6.915	8.494	26.442

While researching the impact of seed inoculation on the yield of three different conventional varieties of common wheat, MILOŠEVIĆ & GOVEDARICA [13] also noted a different total number of microorganisms in the rhizosphere tested varieties.

The efficiency of applied fertilizers differed significantly between the examined variants of fertilization. The organic fertilizer in combination with microbiological fertilizer (C<sub>2</sub>) had a better effect on the dynamics of rhizosphere microflora, so the number of microorganisms on this variant was higher by 28% compared to control. On variants with a separate application of microbial fertilizers (C<sub>1</sub>) the difference compared with the control was significantly lower (3.7%) but still statistically significant. Investigations of other authors also point to a significant influence of organic fertilizers application on the number and diversity of microorganisms in the soil (CHAUDHRY & al. [4], HARTMAN & al. [8]).

Application of fertilizers in organic production, depending on the variant and varieties, influenced the increase in the total number of microorganisms in the level of 13.4% (Bambi) to 60.8% (Nirvana). Overall, the variant C<sub>2</sub> in all sorts achieved better effects compared to C<sub>1</sub> when it comes to increasing the total number of microorganisms. CVIJANOVIC' & al. [5] also found that the use of different types and levels of various nutrients affect the dynamics and activity of microflora in the root's rhizosphere of wheat.

Members of the genus *Azotobacter* are real aerobic microorganisms outnumbered in aerated soils. In addition to oxygen and moisture levels there may be the limiting factor for the growth and activity of these microorganisms. The conditions for mineralization of organic matter in the third year were very favorable, so the number of *Azotobacter* was significantly higher ( $154.15 \times 10^{-1}$  g soil) compared to the previous two years (table 3).

**Table 3.** The number of *Azotobacter* ( $10^{-1}$  g soil) in the rhizosphere of tested species/varieties of wheat during the period 2009/2010 - 2011/2012.

Year A	Types/varietes of small grains B			Fertilization C			Average	
				C <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	AB	A
2009/2010	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			127.15	130.40	145.45	134.33	138.34
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			108.35	128.70	118.90	118.65	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			145.20	136.95	180.50	154.22	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			131.40	149.90	157.15	146.15	
	AC			128.03	136.49	150.50		
2010/2011	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			180.60	160.90	182.80	174.77	145.97
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			111.50	136.70	122.40	123.53	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			115.70	115.30	147.70	126.23	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			122.20	128.30	227.50	159.33	
	AC			132.50	135.30	170.10		
2011/2012	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			191.70	179.90	198.10	189.90	154.15
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			135.20	130.70	125.40	130.43	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			154.70	161.93	213.30	176.64	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			110.60	121.50	126.80	119.63	
	AC			148.05	148.51	165.90		
BC	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			166.48	157.07	175.45	166.33	B
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			118.35	132.03	122.23	124.21	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			138.53	138.06	180.50	152.36	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			121.40	133.23	170.48	141.70	
	C			136.19	140.02	162.17		
F-test	Level	A	B	C	AB	AC	BC	ABC
		**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD	5%	2.113	2.478	2.113	4.731	3.947	4.731	11.527
	1%	2.828	3.346	2.828	6.797	5.534	6.797	21.160

Analysis of variance in the number of *Azotobacter* in rhizosphere zone area showed a statistically significant difference between the tested varieties. Variety of ordinary soft wheat

NS 40S ( $166.33 \times 10^{-1}$  g soil), which is designed in conventional production conditions, had a significantly higher average number of *Azotobacter* in the rhizosphere compared to alternative types of wheat varieties. The variability of genotypes according to the representation of this group of microorganisms indicates an increase in the ability to make associations by the selection of wheat.

Researched variations of fertilizers applied had a significant effect on number of *Azotobacter* increasing, whereby the efficiency of C<sub>2</sub> variants was higher (19.1%) compared to a variant of C<sub>1</sub> (2.8%). In the total amount of variation greatest impact on *Azotobacter* in the rhizosphere soil layer had an interaction year x genotype. In years with less rainfall and favorable air regime of soil (2010/11 and 2011/12), the number of *Azotobacter* for conventional varieties NS 40S was significantly higher compared with the varieties of alternative crops (table 3).

Applied fertilizers, depending on the variant and varieties are differently affected by the number of *Azotobacter*. On average, the variety of alternative types of wheat are better responding to applied fertilizers in comparison with the conventional variety of ordinary soft wheat. In addition, with the combined application of fertilizers better results have been achieved, compared to the standalone application of microbiological fertilizer related to increasing number of *Azotobacter*. The negative and statistically significant effects of independent application of microbial fertilizers to the number of *Azotobacter* were found in the variety NS 40S.

In the total amount of variation the greatest impact on grain yield had age and genotype. By studying the influence of rainfall at harvest time on the yield of winter wheat, MLADENOV & al. [14] found that each  $1 \text{ m}^{-2}$  affect the yield reduction of  $10 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ , or 0.12%. In this study a greater influx of rainfall in the first year also had negative effects on grain yield ( $2,479 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) compared to the second ( $3,897 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and third year of tests ( $4,417 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) (table 4).

The highest average yield in the applied organic cultivation technology had a variety of spelt, Nirvana ( $4,450 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), which was significantly higher compared to other varieties (table 4). Furthermore, in conventional varieties NS 40S was found with higher variation of grain yield in the three-year period compared with the varieties of alternative crops. Due to the interaction of genotype x environment in terms of organic production conventional varieties are not always the most effective. MURPHY & al. [15] also point to lower yields than conventional varieties of wheat in terms of organic cultivation.

The lowest yield in these studies was obtained at variety of durum wheat Dolap ( $2,473 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), in which he recorded the smallest total number of microorganisms and *Azotobacter*. Research of SINGH & al. [18] have shown that the efficiency of utilization of nutrients and water at durum wheat can be increased by choosing varieties that are compatible with beneficial microorganisms.

On average, in the three-year period, the application of fertilizers yield increased significantly, by 13.9% in the variant C<sub>1</sub> and 36.4% in the variant C<sub>2</sub> as compared to controls. The results obtained indicate a significant effect of organic fertilizers on wheat yield which is consistent with studies of other authors (ČERNÝ & al. [6], VERMA & al. [21]). Similar results on the impact of microbial and organic fertilizers on the yields of alternative cereals were announced by other authors (DOLIJANOVIĆ & al. [7], KOVAČEVIĆ & al. [12]).

**Table 4.** Average yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of tested species/varieties of wheat during the period 2009/2010 - 2011/2012.

Year A	Types/varieties of small grains B			Fertilization C			Average	
				C <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	AB	A
2009/2010	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			2,021	2,333	2,372	2,242	2,479
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			1,563	1,835	2,161	1,853	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			1,649	1,659	3,007	2,105	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			2,988	3,490	4,664	3,714	
	AC			2,055	2,329	3,051	2,479	
2010/2011	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			4,264	5,575	5,671	5,170	3,897
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			2,213	2,882	4,321	3,138	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			3,454	3,994	4,113	3,854	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			4,002	4,899	5,636	4,846	
	AC			3,483	4,338	4,935	4,252	
2011/2012	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			4,951	5,342	6,542	5,611	4,417
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			2,145	2,275	2,867	2,429	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			4,375	4,558	5,405	4,780	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			4,752	4,867	5,567	5,062	
	AC			4,056	4,261	5,095	4,471	
BC	<i>Triticum vulgare</i> – NS 40S			3,745	4,417	4,862	4,341	B
	<i>Triticum durum</i> - Dolap			1,974	2,331	3,116	2,473	
	<i>Triticum compactum</i> - Bambi			3,159	3,404	4,175	3,579	
	<i>Triticum spelta</i> - Nirvana			3,914	4,419	5,289	4,540	
	C			3,198	3,642	4,361		
F-test	Level	A	B	C	AB	AC	BC	ABC
		**	**	**	**	*	ns	**
LSD	5%	132.990	192.101	132.990	240.893	366.781	-	893.665
	1%	177.276	259.412	177.276	329.982	526.984	-	1,640.445

The efficacy of fertilizers applied and their effect on the yield of tested species and varieties of wheat depends on the variant and agro-ecological conditions of the season. It is interesting that the differences between the fertilizing variants are more common in the second year (2010/2011), with favorable weather conditions. In a year with less rainfall (2011/2012) due to lack of moisture the full effect of the microbiological fertilizer activity is missing, so the resulting differences were smaller.

To the yield of tested wheat varieties significant influence of the interaction of all three sources of variation (AxBxC) is manifested. This points to the complexity of the interactions studied factors and that optimal organic growing technology, in terms of variety of choice and proper application of nutrients can only be created by taking into account the weather conditions during the year.

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on these results it can be concluded that in the organic production system impact of agro-meteorological factors and genotype on rhizosphere microflora is significant. In addition, the results of these tests have clearly shown the importance of the application of organic fertilizers in organic production. The best effects on the number examined groups of the microorganisms and grain yield of different species/varieties of wheat have been achieved by using a combination of organic and microbial fertilizers. In terms of low investment the

biggest yield was given by the variety of spelt. The research has indicated the higher yield variation of common wheat conventional varieties, which favors the cultivation of alternative wheat types in terms of organic production.

## 5. Acknowledgments

Paper work is part of the project research 46006 „Sustainable agriculture and rural development in function of Republic of Serbia strategic goals achievement within the Danube region” and 31066 „Modern breeding of small grains for present and future needs“ financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development Republic of Serbia

## References

1. G.R. ANDERSON, Ecology of *Azotobacter* in soil of the palouse region I. Occurrence, Soil Sci., 86, 57, 62 (1958).
2. W. BOND, A.C. GRUNDY, Non-chemical weed management in organic farming systems, Weed Research, Blackwell Science Ltd, 41, 383, 405 (2001).
3. L.R. BULLUCK, M. BROSIUS, G.K. EVANYLO, J.B. RISTAINO, Organic and synthetic fertility amendments influence soil microbial, physical and chemical properties on organic and conventional farms, Applied Soil Ecology, 19, 147, 160 (2002).
4. V. CHAUDHRY, A. REHMAN, A. MISHRA, P.S. CHAUHAN, C.S. Nautiyal, Changes in bacterial community structure of agricultural land due to long-term organic and chemical amendments. Microbial ecology, 64(2), 450, 460 (2012).
5. G. CVIJANOVIĆ, N. MILOŠEVIĆ, I. ĐALOVIC, M. CVIJOVIĆ, A. PAUNOVIĆ, Nitrogenization and N fertilization effects on protein contents in wheat grain. Cereal Research Communications, 36., 251, 254 (2008).
6. J. ČERNÝ, J. BALÍK, M. KULHÁNEK, K. ČASOVÁ, V. NEDVĚD, Mineral and organic fertilization efficiency in long-term stationary experiments, Plant Soil Environ, 56, 28, 36 (2010).
7. Ž. DOLIJANOVIĆ, S. OLJAČA, D. KOVAČEVIĆ, S. ĐORĐEVIĆ, S. ROLJEVIĆ, Spelt grain yield (*Triticum aestivum ssp spelta*) depending on growing localities, Proceedings of research paper PKB, Technologists and Agricultural Economists, 20(1-4), 65, 72 (2014).
8. M. HARTMANN, B. FREY, J. MAYER, P. MÄDER, F. WIDMER, Distinct soil microbial diversity under long-term organic and conventional farming, The ISME Journal, 9(5), 1177, 1194 (2015).
9. M. JARAK, T. HAJNAL, The total number of microorganisms, fungi and *Azotobacters* in compacted and loose soil, Tractors and power machines, Scientific Society of Power Machines, Tractors and Maintenance – JUMTO, 11(5), 37, 40 (2006).
10. I.R. KENNEDY, A.T.M.A. CHOUDHURY, M.L. KECSKÉS, Non-symbiotic bacterial diazotrophs in crop-farming systems: can their potential for plant growth promotion be better exploited?, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 36(8), 1229, 1244 (2004).
11. P. KONVALINA, Z. STEHNO, J. MOUDRÝ, The critical point of conventionally bred soft wheat varieties in organic farming systems, Agronomy research, 7(2), 801, 810 (2009).
12. D. KOVAČEVIĆ, S. ROLJEVIĆ, Ž. DOLIJANOVIĆ, S. ĐORĐEVIĆ, V. MILIĆ, Different genotypes of alternative small grains in organic farming, Genetics, Serbian Genetic Society, 46(1), 169, 178 (2014).
13. N. MILOŠEVIĆ, M. GOVEDARICA, Potential of applicability biofertilizers in non-legume plants production, Field and Vegetable Crops Research, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, 35, 53, 65 (2001).
14. N. MLADENOV, N. HRISTOV, V. ĐURIĆ, R. JEVTIĆ R., B. JOCKOVIĆ, Impact of rainfall at harvest time on the yield of winter wheat. Proceedings 45. Advising agronomists Serbia 30.01-05.02., Zlatibor, 27-31 (2011).
15. K.M. MURPHY, K.G. CAMPBELL, S.R. LYON, S.S. JONES, Evidence of varietal adaptation to organic farming systems, Field Crops Research, 102(3), 172, 177 (2007).
16. D. PIMENTEL, P. HEPPELRY, J. HANSON, D. DOUDS, R. SEIDEL, Environmental, energetic, and economic comparisons of organic and conventional farming systems. BioScience, 55(7), 573, 582 (2005).
17. J. POCHON, P. TARDIEUX, Techniques d'analyse en microbiologie du sol, Paris (1962).
18. A.K. SINGH, C. HAMEL, R.M. DEPAUW, R.E. KNOX, Genetic variability in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi compatibility supports the selection of durum wheat genotypes for enhancing soil ecological services and cropping systems in Canada. Canadian journal of microbiology, 58(3), 293, 302 (2012).
19. J. SIX, H. BOSSUYT, S. DEGRYZE, K. DENEFF, A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics, Soil & Tillage Research, 79, 7, 31 (2004).

20. T. ŠIMON, A. CZAKÓ, Influence of long-term application of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 60(7), 314, 319 (2014).
21. G. VERMA, R.P. SHARMA, S.P. SHARMA, S.K. SUBEHIA, S. SHAMBHAVI, Changes in soil fertility status of maize-wheat system due to long-term use of chemical fertilizers and amendments in an alfisol. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 58, 529, 533 (2012).
22. A.G. WOLLUM II, Cultural Methods for Soil Microorganisms, In: *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, Second Edition, A. G. NORMAN, ed., Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1982, pp. 781-801.